



Review Article

Applications of quality risk management tool in pharmaceutical industry: A comprehensive review

Imran Bakshi^{1,2}, Kartika Puri¹, Sukhbir Kaur^{1*}

¹Department of Quality Assurance, I.S.F College of Pharmacy, Moga, Punjab, India, ²Department of Quality Assurance, Quality Control Executive, Overseas Pharmaceuticals, Phillor, Punjab, India

Correspondence:

Dr. Sukhbir Kaur, Department of Quality Assurance, I.S.F College of Pharmacy, Moga - 142 001, Punjab, India. Phone: +91-9814275574. E-mail: k_sukhbir@yahoo.co.in

How to cite this article: Bakshi I, Puri K, Kaur S. Applications of quality risk management tool in pharmaceutical industry: A comprehensive review. *Pharmaspire* 2018;10(4):148-152.

Source of Support: Nil,

Conflict of Interest: None declared.

ABSTRACT

The aim of this article is to provide better understanding in the application of the International Conference on Harmonization Q9 guideline. This paper reflects the need of quality risk management in pharmaceutical industry to improve and consistently working with quality. Quality risk management (QRM) can be applied at various stages in the industry consisting of manufacturing, distribution, inspection, and review of pharmaceutical products and any biological product throughout its lifecycle. QRM includes risk evaluation, risk control, and risk review. The risk evaluation provides regulator brief information about the severity of the risk and depending on severity of the risk appropriate risk management tool. The various risk management tools are described in this paper. Risk pertaining in the quality of product declining the firm's growth so as to maintain the market response firm has to be consistent with the product quality. QRM shows how to implement, evaluate, control, and review the risk.

Keywords: Failure mode effect analysis, hazard management, international conference on harmonization guidelines, quality risk management, risk management

INTRODUCTION

The International Conference on Harmonization (ICH) Q9-quality risk management (QRM) dispense wonderful framework for the use of risk management in pharmaceutical product development and manufacturing quality decision-making applications. It has been part of the pharmaceutical industry since decades failure mode effect analysis (FMEA) and hazard analysis and critical control points (HACCP) were created in 1960 as quality risk management tools. International organization for standardization also adopted risk management standard throughout the product life cycle. The aspects consist of development, manufacturing, distribution, and the inspection and submission/review processes throughout the life cycle of drug substances, drug products, and biological and biotechnological products.^[1]

QRM is evolved by the expert working group of the ICH of technical essential for registration of pharmaceuticals for human

use which explains a model for a pharmaceutical quality system by giving principles and examples of tools for QRM and approach to identify, scientifically evaluating and controlling potential risks to quality 1 (ICH, 2005).^[1] It is a systematic process for the evaluation, control, communication, and review of risks to the quality of the medicinal product. It supports science based and practical decisions when integrated into quality systems, examples of quality systems include validation, quality defects investigation, auditing, inspection, documentation, and training 2 (Reddy *et al.*, 2014).^[2]

QRM

The QRM system safeguards that the evaluation of the risk to quality builds on scientific knowledge, experience with the process and eventually relates to the preservation of the patient, and the degree of effort and documentation of the QRM process are proportional with the level of risk 3 Q9 ICH, 2006).^[3] The terminologies of QRM are defined in Table 1.

PRINCIPLES OF QRM

- The evaluation of the risk to quality should be depend on scientific knowledge and finally relates to the protection of the patient.

Access this article online

Website: www.isfcppharmaspire.com	P-ISSN: 2321-4732 E-ISSN: XXXX-XXXX
--	--

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

- b) The amount of input, formality, and documentation of QRM process should be commensurate with the level of risk.

The evaluation principle constitutes four stages of an effective QRM process as defined by ICH Q9. All four components are important, i.e., risk assessment, risk control, risk communication, and risk review 1 (ICH, 2005, Lotlikar, 2013).^[1]

QRM PROCESS

QRM is a systematic process for the assessment, control, communication, and review of risks to the quality of the drug (medicinal) product across the product lifecycle. A model for QRM is outlined in the flowchart [Figure 1].

1. Initiating QRM process: The initiating phase of QRM process involves understanding the risk event by defining and agreeing the context, the scope, and the tolerability criteria for the QRM,

together with any underlying assumptions. It should involve all the stakeholders, all the relevant information are assembled and shared.^[1]

2. Quality risk assessment: Quality risk assessment is a systematic process of organizing information to support a risk decision to be made within a risk management process. It consists of the identification of hazards and the analysis and evaluation of risks associated with exposure to those hazards.^[4-8]
 - a) Quality risk identification: It consists of the use of information to identify hazards or potential risks. Information used to identify risk includes historical data, theoretical analysis, and informed opinions.
 - b) Quality risk analysis: It is the estimation of the risk associated with the identified hazards. It is the qualitative or quantitative process of linking the likelihood of occurrence and severity of harms.
 - c) Quality risk evaluation: Quality risk evaluation includes comparison of identified and analyzed risk against

Table 1: The terminologies of QRM^[1]

Risk	The merger of the prospect of event of harm and the grievousness of that harm
Risk acceptance	The determination to accept risk
Hazard	The potential origin of harm
Risk analysis	The evaluation of the risk pertaining with hazards
Risk assessment	An efficient operation of arranging information to support a risk determination to be made within a risk management process
Risk control	Actions implementing risk management decisions
Risk evaluation	The collation of the evaluated risk to given risk criteria using a quantitative or qualitative scale to decide the notable of the risk
Risk identification	The formal use of information to evaluate potential origin of harm referring to the risk-related problem description
Risk management	The standard application of quality management policies, procedures, and practices to the work of assessing, controlling, communicating, and reviewing risk
Risk reduction	Steps taken to reduce the probability of reoccurrence of harm and the grievousness of that harm
Risk review	Review or observing of output/results of the risk management process considering new knowledge about the risk
Severity	Calculate of the possible results of a hazard
Detectability	The capacity to govern the existence, presence, or actuality of a hazard
Harm	Harm to health, including the harm that can cause from loss of product quality or availability




Figure 1: Overview of quality risk management process^[1]

predefined acceptance criteria and consideration of probability, severity, and detectability.

3. Risk control: It includes decision-making to reduce and/or accept risks. Its purpose is to reduce the risk to an acceptable level. Decision makers might use different processes, including benefit-cost analysis, for understanding the optimal level of risk control. The steps for risk control include:
 - a) Risk reduction: Risk reduction includes actions taken to lessen the probability of the occurrence of harm and severity of that harm usually by corrective action and preventive action and change control.
 - b) Risk acceptance: Decision to accept risk. It can be a formal decision to accept the residual risk or can be a passive decision in which residual risks are not specified.
4. Risk communication: It is the sharing of information about risk and risk management between the decision makers and others. Parties can communicate at any stage of the risk management process. The output/result of the QRM process should be appropriately communicated and documented.
5. Risk review: It should be an ongoing part of the quality management process. A mechanism to review or monitor events should be implemented. The output/results of the risk management process should be reviewed to take into account new knowledge and experience.^[7]

QRM TOOLS

A key early step in the execution of a risk analysis is to determine the appropriate risk assessment methods or tools. There is no single best choice for any given assessment process, and the selection of the appropriate risk methodology should be based on the depth of analysis

required, complexity of the subject risk of concern, and the familiarity with the assessment tool.^[1] The list of recognized risk-management tools given in Figure 2 and their description and applications are explained in Table 2.

POTENTIAL APPLICATION OF QRM

The selection of particular risk management tools is completely dependent on specific facts and circumstances. These examples are provided for illustrative purposes and only suggest potential uses of QRM 2 (ICH, 2005).

QRM as part of integrated quality management documentation

- a) Training and education: To determine the appropriateness of initial and/or ongoing training sessions based on education, experience, and working habits of staff, as well as on a periodic assessment of previous training, for example, its effectiveness.
- b) Quality defects: To provide the basis for identifying, evaluating, and communicating the potential quality impact of a suspected quality defect, trend, deviation, investigation, and out of specification result.
- c) Auditing/inspection: To define the frequency and scope of audits, both internal and external, taking into account factors such as existing legal requirements, overall compliance status and history of the company, and robustness of a company's QRM activities.
- d) Periodic review: To select, evaluate, and interpret trend results of data within the product quality review, to interpret monitoring data.
- e) Continual improvement: To facilitate continual improvement in processes throughout the product lifecycle.




Figure 2: Risk management tools^[2,9]

Table 2: Risk management tools with their description and application^[10]

S.No.	Risk management tools	Description	Potential application
1	Basic risk management facilitation methods (Diagram analysis)	It is a simple technique. Commonly used to structure risk management by gathering or organizing data and facilitating decision-making by flowcharts check sheets process mapping cause and effect diagrams	Compilation of observations, trends, or other empirical information to support a variety of less complex deviations, complaints, defaults
2	Risk ranking and filtering	For risk ranking of complex systems, it evaluates multiple diverse quantitative and qualitative factors for each risk. It is useful when management needs to evaluate both quantitatively assessed and qualitatively assessed risks within the same organizational framework	Prioritize manufacturing sites for inspection/audit by regulators or industry
3	FMEA	Evaluate potential failure modes for processes, and the probable effect on outcomes and/or product performance	Evaluate equipment and facilities; analyze a manufacturing process to identify high-risk steps/critical parameters
4	FMECA	It is extension of FMEA that includes a criticality analysis which is used to chart the probability of failure modes against the severity of the consequences	It identifies the places where additional actions can be appropriate to minimize risk
5	FTA	It is a top-down deductive failure analysis. It identifies all root causes of an assumed failure or problem	Investigate complaints and deviations. Understand their root cause and resolve the issue
6	HACCP	It is systematic, proactive, and preventive tool for assuring a product quality, reliability, and safety	Emphasizes on preventive controls rather than ability to detect
7	HAZOP	Based on a theory that assumes that risk events are caused by deviations from the design or operating intentions	Evaluate manufacturing processes, equipment, and facilities for drug substance
8	PHA	Analysis conducted based on applying prior experience or knowledge of a hazard or failure to identify future hazards, hazardous situations, and events that might cause harm, as well as to estimate their probability of occurrence for a given activity, facility, product, or system	Evaluating existing systems or prioritizing hazards where circumstances prevent a more extensive technique from being used
9	Supporting statistical tools	Support and facilitate quality risk management. Commonly used tools are as follows: Control charts DOE histograms Pareto charts process capability analysis	They enable effective data assessment, aid in determining the significance of the data set (s), and facilitate more reliable decision-making

FMEA: Failure mode effects analysis, HACCP: Hazard analysis and critical control points, FMECA: Failure mode, effects, and criticality analysis, FTA: Fault tree analysis, HAZOP: Hazard operability analysis, PHA: Preliminary hazard analysis, DOE: Design of experiments

QRM as part of regulatory operations inspection and assessment activities

To frame the inspection planning, its frequency and assessment intensity, to identify risk and it should be communicated between inspector and accessor and to facilitate better understanding of how risk can be controlled.

QRM as part of development

To design manufacturing process to consistently deliver the product of intended performance with material attributes for example particle size distribution, moisture content, flow properties and process parameters.

QRM for facilities, equipment, and utilities design of facility/equipment

To determine appropriate area when designing buildings and facilities, for example, flow of material and personnel, prevention of mix-ups, dedicated or segregated facilities/equipment. To determine compatibility between product and equipment material / packaging material i.e. selection of stainless steel grade.

Computer systems and computer controlled equipment

To select the design of computer hardware and software i.e. to determine the extent of Computer system validation for example,

identification of critical performance parameters, selection of the requirements, and design.

QRM as part of materials management assessment and evaluation of suppliers and contract manufacturers

To provide a comprehensive evaluation of suppliers and contract manufacturers (e.g., auditing and supplier quality agreements).

- Starting material: To assess differences and possible quality risks associated with variability in starting materials (e.g., age and route of synthesis).
- Use of materials: To determine whether it is appropriate to use material under quarantine (e.g., for further internal processing), to determine appropriateness of reprocessing, reworking, and use of returned goods.
- Storage, logistics, and distribution conditions: To assess the adequacy of arrangements to ensure maintenance of appropriate storage and transport conditions (e.g., temperature, humidity, and container design).

QRM as part of production

- Validation: To identify the scope and extent of verification, qualification, and validation activities (e.g., analytical methods, processes, equipment, and cleaning method).
- In-process sampling and testing: To evaluate the frequency and extent of in-process control testing (e.g., to justify reduced testing under conditions of proven control), to evaluate and

justify the use of PAT in conjunction with parametric and real-time release.

QRM as part of laboratory control and stability studies

- a) Out of specification results: To identify potential root causes and corrective actions during the investigation of out of specification results.
- b) Retest period/expiration date: To evaluate adequacy of storage and testing of intermediates, excipients, and starting materials.

QRM as part of packaging and labeling

- a) Design of packages: To design the secondary package for the protection of primary packaged product (e.g., to ensure product authenticity, label legibility).
- b) Selection of container closure system: To determine the critical parameters of the container closure system.
- c) Label controls: To design label control procedures based on the potential for mix-ups involving different product labels, including different versions of the same label.

CONCLUSION

Well-accessed methodology structured to find current quality risk assessment, that is, ICH Q9 guideline. Here above in this paper given number of applications and risk management tools. It is a process consisting of evaluation, control, and review of the risk emerging out for quality. The common risk management tools used in application of risk to the quality are risk ranking, FMEA, and HACCP. The first step is to identify appropriate risk methodology subsequent to the risk. The severity of the risk is taken into account. QRM provides better ability to handle the risk pertaining in the quality of product throughout its lifecycle.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are grateful to Shri Parveen Garg Ji, Chairman, ISF College of Pharmacy, Moga, Punjab, India, and Department of Quality Assurance, ISF College of Pharmacy, Moga, Punjab, India, for providing necessary facilities to complete the work.

REFERENCES

1. International Conference on Harmonization of Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use (ICH). Q9 Quality Risk Management. Geneva: ICH; 2005.
2. Vjayakumar R, Vishal G, Raghunandan V, Nitin KU. Quality risk management in pharmaceutical industry: A review. *Int J Pharm Tech Res* 2014;6:908-14.
3. International Conference on Harmonization Guidance for Industry: Q9 Quality Risk Management. ICH; 2006.
4. U.S Food and Drug Administration. Pharmaceutical CGMPs for the 21st Century-A Risk Based Approach. Rockville, MD: Food and Drug Administration; 2004.
5. Food and Drug Administration. Guidance for Industry: Quality Systems Approach to Pharmaceutical CGMP Regulations: Rockville, MD: Food and Drug Administration; 2006.
6. International Conference Harmonization. Pharmaceutical Quality System. ICH Harmonized Tripartite Guideline. Q10. Geneva, Switzerland: U.S. Department of Health and Human; 2008.
7. Lotlikar MV. Quality risk management (QRM): A review. *J Drug Deliv Ther* 2013;3:149-54.
8. ICH. Harmonized Tripartite Guideline. Development and Manufacture of Drug Substances (Chemical Entities and Biotechnological/Biological Entities). Draft Consensus Guideline Q11; 2011.
9. ISO; 2000, Application of Risk Management to Medical Devices, ISO 14971; 2000. Available from: <http://www.iso.org>. [Last accessed on 2018 Dec].
10. WHO Guideline on Quality Risk Management A Draft Guidance; 2010.